

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

qu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/933,992                                  | 08/21/2001      | David Seidler        | 24016/8                 | 7709                    |  |
| 7   | 7590 09/23/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
| NEAL L ROSENBERG ESQ                        |                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| AMSTER ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN 90 PARK AVENUE |                 |                      | HYLTON, ROB             | IN ANNETTE              |  |
| NEW YORK,                                   | NY 10016        |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                         |  |
|   |                 |                      | 3727                    | 13                      |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003 | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | A market and a land   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)          |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summers   | 09/933,992  | SEIDLER, DAVID        |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit              |  |  |  |  |
| The MALLING DATE of the   | Robin A. Hylton   | 3727                  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2003.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi   | 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final. |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  | election requirement.   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept   |   | miner.                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | •   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro                                   | oved by the Examiner. |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl  | y to this Office action.  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>   | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | •                     |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.8  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:  |   |                       |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/933,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "the hinge axis extends tangentially to the peripheries of said first and second plates in both said closed and open orientations".

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at least one technical, or inventive, feature of the claimed instant invention including the claimed case.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-7,9-14,18,20, and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chkadua (US 6,196,232). See the description of the hinge action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldhahn (US 3,152,716) in view of Chkadua.

Art Unit: 3727

By applicant's disclosure, the hinge can be used to join any cover and base member of any type of case, including a cosmetic case.

Feldhahn teaches a container having a magnetic hinge, said hinge allowing for sliding movement between the base and cover from the closed position to the open position. Feldhahn does not teach maintaining a parallel relationship between the magnets in the two positions. To the degree set forth, the container of Feldhahn is a cosmetic case.

Chkadua teaches a virtual hinge as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the hinge of Chkadua for the hinge of Feldhahn. Doing so provides a hinge that will not allow for sliding between the cover and the base between the case members.

7. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chkadua.

To the degree the configuration is not considered bi-stable due to the step portions aiding to prevent sliding, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the structure of a bi-stable configuration.

8. Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chkadua.

Chkadua teaches the claimed invention except for the magnets being non-circular and more specifically, rectangular in shape.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the magnets of a non-circular or rectangular shape since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,992

Art Unit: 3727

9. Claims 1-4,6,7,9-15,19,20, and 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kramer (EP 0 178 504).

To the degree only the drawings can be interpreted in light of the English abstract,

Kramer teaches a hinge having magnets providing a virtual hinge. In view of figures 3 and 8,

the hinge can move the plates 2 between an open and a closed position to the same degree of
the claimed hinge.

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 and 17-34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on June 23, 2003 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,992

Art Unit: 3727

12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9302 or (703) 872-9303 for after final amendments. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

| expirea, | the reply may be considered timely. A suggested form  | mat for a certificate follows: |      |
|----------|---|--------------------------------|------|
|          | hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Series Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872 |                                | d te |
| ]        | Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate  |                                |      |
| 5        | Signature   |                                |      |
| [        | Date  |                                |      |

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH September 20, 2003

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727